

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,655	12/06/2001	Nainesh P. Shah	T148	7784
7590 04/04/2005			EXAMINER	
Himanshu S. Amin			ST CYR, DANIEL	
National City Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
24th Floor 1900 East 9th Street				TALER NOMBER
Cleveland, OH			2876	
•		DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		H·H
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/017,655	SHAH, NAINESH P.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel St.Cyr	2876
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja	<u>anuary 2005</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-22</u> is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	••	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $R$	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority document		
<ul><li>2.  Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>	• •	
application from the International Bureau	_	ed in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.	•	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been rec	eived.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· <u>-</u>	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	• ==	atent Application (PTO-152)
) information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO-1443) Faper NO(S) _		•

Application/Control Number: 10/017,655

Art Unit: 2876

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the appeal filed on 1/20/05, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
  - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al, US Patent No. 5,672,858, in view of Landt, US Patent No. 6,677,852.

Li et al disclose an apparatus and method for reading hybrid indicia using charge coupled device for reading 2d portions of the indicia and a laser device for reading 1d portions of the indicia comprising: a photodetector 409 for detecting the reflection of light 440 from the scanning light beam 430 off the UPC symbol 411; a CCD 404 separately images the reflected

Application/Control Number: 10/017,655

Art Unit: 2876

light 440 from the UPS code symbol 413; a processor 420, includes a conventional decoder 420a and symbol discriminator 420b, the discriminator 420b determines if the symbol 413 being read by the CCD is of a particular symbology type, e.g. a matrix code conforming to UPSCODE(TM) symbology; a processor 424, including a conventional decoder 424a and symbol discriminator 424b, is provided to determine if the symbol 411 being read by the photodiode 409 is of a particular symbology type, e.g. a bar code conforming to a UPC code symbology. (see figure 4B and col. 13, line 17+).

Li et al teach in the background that if the code is successfully and completely decoded (read) the decoding process terminates and an indicator of a successful read (such as green light or audible sound) (see col. 4, lines 3-14), but fail to disclose or fairly suggest that the indication means of the reader multicolor LEDs (i.e. photo, illumination, lights) or vibration signal for indicating a valid read.

Landt discloses a system and method for automatically controlling or configuring a reader comprising: a reader 100 having a trigger switch 306 and an indicator 308, both coupled to a processor 304. the indicator 308 may be a buzzer, speaker, or other simple audible output device, or one or more light emitting elements, such as multicolor LEDs (see col. 6, lines 24-40).

In view of Landt's teachings, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the well-known multicolor light emitting diodes (LEDs) indicating means for indicating to an operator whether each portion of the hybrid code has been successfully read or (i.e. using color changing scheme). Such modification would make the system more effective and more practical by providing visual indicating means for validating each scan of the system so that the operator could appropriately

Application/Control Number: 10/017,655

Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

proceed with further scanning. With regard to the using vibrating indicating means, they are functionally equivalent as the LEDS/audible means. Furthermore, with regard programming the indicators for specific duration, time sequence, etc., the structure of the prior art is capable of being programmed to perform the same function. Therefore, it would have been an obvious extension as taught by Li et al.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and 12-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 571-272-2407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel St.Cyr